A. Total

FY-2003 GRASSLAND RESERVE PROGRAM (GRP) RANKING CRITERIA WORKSHEET LOUISIANA

Participant:	Application No.: _		
Farm No.	Tract No		
Land being offered is currently being ut size. The land includes grazing lands; la livestock; including native or improved to [If "Yes" continue. If "no", discontinue ranki	and that contains forbs or shrubs and rangeland, pastureland, savannas and	is currently being grazed by odding dragation is currently being grazed by odding the current by	domestic
Type of agreement offered (check one):		□year; □year; □ye □rmanent	ear
Summary of offered land (Land types oth Enter nearest whole acre Total acres offered Acres needing restoration Acres of Native Cover (including restored	ner than rangeland, pastureland and grazedacac. d Natives)ac	d forest are not eligible to be off	ered):
Enter to nearest whole dollar Estimated restoration costs Estimated total cost of rental agreement Estimated total easement cost	\$ (Total cost s \$ (Annual rent \$ (Estimated e	tal x total acres x length of contr	act)
RANKING CRITE	RIA (part A.)	Circle Correct Value	Score
A. THREATS TO CONVERSION			
1) Threat to conversion – urban land [Land i	is located in Louisiana within a targeted Parisl	h]	
High Risk		200	
Medium Risk		100	
Low Risk		0	
		Score	
2) Threat to conversion - cultivation [Percent of offered land suitable for cultivation. Percent of offer with soil map units having cropland capability classes I, II and III. If soil map units have predominate cover of native rangeland (≥ 75%), multiply points times two.] 75% or greater of the offered acres in cropland class I, II and III 100 50% – 74% of the offered acres in cropland class I, II and III			
Less than 50% of the offered acres in crop	land class I, II and III	0	
		Score	
3) Threat to conversion - invasive plants [Area must have presence of or be adjacent to invasive species. Chinese tallow, cogongrass, Chinese privet, and/or honey locust.]			
Species present on offered acres at greate		100	
Species present on offered acres at 30 - 50		50	
Species present on land immediately adjace		20	
Species not present		0	
		Score	

Total points for Threat To Conversion (A.1+A.2+ A.3).= Total (Maximum allowed is 500 points)

GRP RANKING CRITERIA WORKSHEET (continued) LOUISIANA

Application No.:

RANKING CRIT	ERIA (part B)	Circle Correct Value	Score
D. MON COMMEDICAL CONCIDERATIO	MC		
B. NON-CONVERSION CONSIDERATIONS1) Enrollment option selected by applicant. [Weight is assigned to the option providing the greatest opportunity to meet			
the long-term objectives of the program.]	. [weight is assigned to the op	tion providing the greatest opportunit	y to meet
□ 10-year rental agreement			2
☐ 15-year rental agreement			5
☐ 20-year rental agreement			10
☐ 30-year rental agreement			20
☐ 30-year easement			20
☐ Permanent easement			40
			Score
2) Acres of grazing land to be enrolled [Are			nagement
(511), and/or Use Exclusion (472) planned	and maintained in the conserva	ation plan.]	0
40 – 79 acres 80 - 159 acres			5
60 - 139 acres			10
320 - 640 acres			15
641 or more acres			20
0 11 d. more dayes			Score
3) Location significance - Proximity of area	offered to other protected a	reas such as refuges, and wildlife	
management areas, national forests, nat			eas must
be 500 ac. or greater in size.]	tarar arous, or pormanont our	isorration casemonics (i retested ai	ous must
Area is immediately adjacent to a protected	ed area		10
Area is not adjacent, but within 1/4 mile of	a protected area		6
Area is between 1/4 and 1/2 mile of a prot			2
Area is greater than ½ miles of a protected	ed area		0
			Score
4) Area offered includes predominately nat			
livestock use by Prescribed Grazing (528A)), Forage Harvest Management	t (511) or Use Exclusion (472) to rece	eive
points].	a a la mala		20
Area offered is 75% or greater native gras	SSIdilus		30 Score
E/ A (f			
5) Area offered enhances stream corridors / riparian zones [All riparian areas must be protected from uncontrolled livestock access by Prescribed Grazing (528A) and/or Use Exclusion (472) in order to receive points].			
Stream corridor/riparian zone will be prote	ested from uncontrolled livestee	v access	10
Stream comuoi/mpanam zone wiii be prote	ected from discontrolled livestoc	N dccess	Score
4) Critical habitat for at rick wildlife or prot	action of threataned plant co	oolog /Listed in Section 2 of the EC	
6) Critical habitat for at-risk wildlife or prot Area offered is known habitat for at-risk s			(10)
of a conservation plan that addresses hab			10
specie(s):	itat requirements for the facilities	ed animal of plant. Name	10
			Score
7) Cost for restoration practices			
No restoration costs			50
			Score
Total points for Non-Conversion (B.1+B.2+I	B.3+B.4+B.5+B.6+B.7)=Total ((Maximum allowed is 170 points)	B. Total
·	·	,	
	TOTAL P	OINT VALUE FOR OFFERED AREA	A (A. + B.)
Evaluation Completed by: (signatures)			
Designated Conservationist	Date	Applicant	Date

LOUISIANA - GUIDANCE FOR RANKING GRP APPLICATIONS

The following guidance is effective beginning July 9, 2003, and will be used for evaluating all GRP applications received in fiscal year 2003 (June 30, 2003 through September 30, 2003):

EVALUATION CRITERIA

The use of the terms <u>native cover</u>, <u>native grassland</u>, or <u>native rangeland</u> in this worksheet refers to land possessing native grasses, forbs and shrubs, that have never been plowed or otherwise manipulated by tillage or other similar activity; or land that has been restored to native grasses, forbs and shrubs (the plant community found prior to European settlement), including switchgrass, indiangrass, gammagrass, big bluestem, little bluestem, etc..

A. THREATS TO CONVERSION – The GRP Notice of Fund Availability (NOFA) requires priority consideration be given to grasslands under the greatest threat of conversion. The identified threats include urbanization, cultivation, and loss of plant and animal biodiversity.

1) Threat to conversion – urban land

Assign points in this category for land located in the parishes identified in the 1997 NRI as land having greatest expansion of urban build-up 1982-1997. All parishes in Louisiana have been rated for threat to conversion as either high, medium or low (see Louisiana Map titled "Grazing Land Potential for Conversion to Urban Land").

2) Threat to conversion – cultivation

Assign points under this category based on the percent of the offered acres with soil map units having desirable classification for conversion to cropland. Cropland is not eligible for enrollment in the GRP. However, there are many acres of grassland, native and introduced, that could be converted to cropland with minimal land treatment costs by the land holders. The soil map units with the greatest potential for cropland conversion have a non-irrigated cropland capability classification of class I, II or III. These classifications are recorded in Section 2 of the Field Office Technical Guide, Cropland Interpretations, Land Capability and Yields per Acre of Crops Report. If the offered cover on at least 75% of the class I, II and III land is historic native grasslands, multiply the assigned points by two.

4) Threat to conversion – invasive plants

Assign points under this category based on the percent of offered acres covered by the targeted invasive plant species. The specie(s) **must** be present on the offered land or the land immediately adjacent to the offered land must be infested at a concentration that posses an imminent threat to the offered acres. If the specie is not present on the offered acres or adjacent property score zero points. Targeted species for this evaluation period include Chinese Tallow (*Triadica sebifera*), Chinese Privet (*Ligustrum sinense*), cogon grass (*Imperata cylindrica*), Honey Locust (*Gleditsia triacanthos*). Participants will be required to remove and maintain the control of these species for the life of the agreement. Restoration cost-share may be available for the initial treatment within two years of enrollment in the GRP. Following the initial treatment the participant will have to maintain the population of these plants at or below a 15% plant composition for the field in which they are present.

B. Non-Conversion Evaluation Considerations. The criteria in this section will be used to further evaluate applications that provide the greatest support to existing grazing operations and enhance the biodiversity through protection of the grassland resource. For the FY2003 NOFA, emphasis is placed on grasslands that do not require restoration cost-share assistance.

1) Enrollment options selected by the applicant

Assign points in this category based on the enrollment option selected by the participant. The points are weighted to the enrollment options providing the greatest long-term protection of the grassland resource.

U. S Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources Conservation Service

07/09/2003

Area enrolled will have Prescribed Grazing (528A) &/or Forage Harvest Management (511) planned and maintained in the conservation plan for the life of the agreement/easement. Exclusion from easements may not exceed one (1) undeveloped two (2) ac. site per 640 acres offered. Points are awarded to give preference for physical site conditions and ownership patterns that offer a likelihood that the site will retain its habitat function and values.

3) Proximity of area offered to other protected areas such as refuges, wildlife management areas, national forests, natural areas, and permanent conservation easements

Proximity to other protected grassland areas will be as measured from the closest point on the edge of the offered acreage. Other protected areas must be at least 500 acres in size to allow points in this category. Land enrolled in the CRP or WRP 10-year restoration agreements **do not qualify** as other protected areas for wildlife habitat under this category. WRP 30-year and perpetual easements can be considered as protected habitat areas.

4) Area offered includes predominately native rangeland and prairies

Assign points for the percent of offered land that is native grasslands that have never been tilled or reestablished by planting. Abandoned cropland fields that have established native plants through natural regeneration, native range plantings, trees and introduced grasses do not contribute to the 75% criteria.

5) Area Offered Will Include Restoration or Enhancement of Stream Corridors/Riparian Zones Assign points under this category when a stream and adjacent buffer will be protected from livestock grazing or encroachment from cropping, by fencing or use exclusion. Areas within the protected corridor/riparian area will be established to native vegetation by either natural regeneration or by planting grasses, trees, or shrubs as determined on site by NRCS field staff in consultation with the applicant. Minimum widths of protected corridors/riparian areas will be in accordance with the Standard and Specification for Riparian Forest Buffer (391). Limited summer grazing that avoids nesting and fawning seasons and allows for fall regrowth can be planned as a wildlife management practice where habitat benefits would be achieved. Tree plantings will have livestock excluded for the entire period of establishment. Score this category only when all streams are protected and restored. Streams for this criterion are perennial streams as defined and designated on USGS topographic maps. If no perennial streams are present on the offered acres score no points in this category.

6) Critical habitat for at-risk wildlife or protection of threatened plant species

Assign points only when the offered acres are within a parish (see Louisiana Habitat Maps) identified as significant habitat for at-risk wildlife specie(s), Gopher tortoise (Gopherus polyphemus) and/or red-cockaded woodpecker (Picoldes decdrocopos boreslis). In order for the application to receive points for red-cockaded woodpecker (Picoldes decdrocopos boreslis) habitat, the offered acres must consist of longleaf pine savannas and the pine stand must be greater than 30 years of age. Conservation practices will be installed and maintained to the habitat needs of the specie(s). We can never be sure that the targeted species will utilize habitats maintained or enhanced by conservation practices, but we can insure that the practices will provide all or part of the habitat requirements of the species. Be sure that the wildlife habitat improvement plan will provide habitat that is suitable for use by the targeted species before assigning points under this category.

7) Cost Per Acre for Restoration

Assign points only when there are no restoration cost being requested by the applicant.